IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 605 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 182 OF 2005

ICICI BANK LTD.,MUMBAI ....Applicant

Versus

WESTERN INDIA SHIPYARD LTD., ...Respondent

Mr. S. M. Singbal, advocate for the applicant-Bank.
Mr. E. O. Mendes, advocate for the original petitioners.
Mr. U. R. Timble, advocate for the original respondent no.1.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 21st September, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr. S. M. Singbal, the learned counsel for the applicant. The application is wholly misconceived. The applicant is not a party in the suit of which the Writ Petition arises, nor any application has been made by the applicant before the trial Court for its impleadment or intervention. In the present Writ Petition, therefore, the applicant cannot be permitted to intervene. It is always open to the applicant to pursue its own remedy by independent proceedings for the redressal of its grievance.

With the aforesaid observation, the Miscellaneous Civil Appliction is rejected.

R. M. LODHA, J.

mc.